

MAR. 5. 2009 12:10PM 5106630920

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER NO. 795 P. 1  
**MAR 05 2009**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of: Griswold et al. Attorney Docket No.: IGT1P519

Application No.: 10/662,755 Examiner: PANDYA, Sunit

Filed: September 15, 2003 Group: 3714

Title: GAMING APPARATUS HAVING A CONFIGURABLE CONTROL PANEL Confirmation No.: 1541

**PROPOSED INTERVIEW AGENDA**

Fax # 571-273-8300

Dear Sir:

I propose to discuss the evidence submitted in the affidavit submitted under 37 CFR 1.131. In particular I would like to discuss the sufficiency of i) the contents of the sworn affidavit; ii) the contents of the notebook entries as compared to the pertinent claim limitations; and iii) the contents of the work orders.

In conjunction with the above I propose to discuss whether the conception evidenced therein would be comprehended by one of skill in the art and capable of proof per *Mergenthaler v. Scudder*, as cited by the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,  
Weaver Austin Villeneuve & Sampson LLP



Peter G. Mikhail  
Reg. No. 46,930